

PAID FAMILY LEAVE: BENEFITING HAWAI‘I BUSINESSES AND THE ECONOMY

HAWAI‘I KIDS COUNT ISSUE BRIEF

Changes in family roles and labor market trends have created the need for policies that help employees balance work and family life. Paid family leave is an example of one such policy. When family members are sick, hurt, or too frail to care for themselves, loved ones step up to provide the needed care. Nearly all employees need time away from the job at some point to care for a new child or seriously ill family member. However, employees in Hawai‘i do not have any legal right to *paid* family leave, and too many are not covered by current policies that provide job-protected *unpaid* leave.

The impact that paid family leave has on the overall wellbeing of workers and their families is well-documented. Paid family leave not only supports family economic stability, but also promotes child and maternal health, sets a foundation for fathers’ life-long involvement in their children’s lives, and alleviates the pressure associated with caregiving for older adult relatives.¹ Despite growing public consensus in support of paid family leave, opposition often centers around the claim that such a leave program would be harmful to businesses, particularly small businesses.² Evidence from states that have implemented paid family leave programs, however, indicates quite the opposite and suggests that paid family leave may not only be good for businesses, but may also be beneficial for the local economy.

Paid Family Leave Supports Businesses

In the absence of a federal mandate that guarantees job-protection *and* wage replacement when workers need to take time to care for a new child or a sick family member, a handful of states have implemented their own paid family leave programs. California was the first to enact its

PAID FAMILY LEAVE

Paid family leave provides job protection and wage replacement for employees who need to take time off to care for a new or sick child or a family member, such as an older parent, with a serious illness or disability.



program in 2002, followed by New Jersey in 2008, Rhode Island in 2013, and New York with its law taking effect in 2018.³ A small but growing number of studies conducted in these states indicate that paid family leave is not harmful to businesses and actually provide some evidence of positive impacts.⁴ These initial studies suggest that paid family leave:

- *Improves employee retention and reduces turnover, saving businesses money.* When they do not have access to *paid* leave, employees are more likely to quit their jobs or take breaks from the workforce in order to manage caregiving responsibilities that require time off work.⁵ Data from California suggest that paid family leave not only promotes workers returning to the labor force after their leave, but also increases the chances that workers will return to the same employer. This is especially true among low-wage workers; those who accessed the paid family leave program in the California study were far

“By supporting employee retention and reducing turnover, paid family leave can help businesses save money.”

more likely to return to the same employer following leave than those who did not.⁶ Businesses benefit when employees return to their pre-leave jobs as one

study shows that, on average, it costs companies approximately 21 percent of an employee’s annual salary to hire and train a worker’s replacement.⁷ By supporting employee retention and reducing turnover, paid family leave can help businesses save money. The indirect savings of paid family leave can potentially be used to pay temporary workers or to compensate employees for overtime while others are on leave.⁸

■ *Boosts employee morale and promotes productivity.* Surveyed employers in California and New Jersey reported that paid family leave improved morale among employees who took leave, and a small survey in New Jersey indicated that employers also felt it boosted morale among leave-takers’ co-workers.⁹ When supportive programs like paid leave are offered, employee loyalty is increased and productivity is promoted.¹⁰ Despite concerns that paid family leave might disrupt productivity,¹¹ the majority of surveyed employers in California and New Jersey indicated that paid family leave did not negatively impact productivity.¹²

■ *Evens the playing field for small businesses.* While the research indicates that paid family leave can support businesses, there are particular benefits for small businesses that may often be at a hiring disadvantage. With a universal leave program, small businesses can attract talented employees who might otherwise choose to work at larger companies that are more likely to offer generous benefits.¹³ Companies with more flexible leave benefits also have lower turnover rates.¹⁴ In a survey conducted years into California’s program, it is not surprising that small business owners were more likely to report neutral to positive effects than larger companies.¹⁵

WHY IS PAID FAMILY LEAVE NECESSARY?

The United States remains the world’s only advanced economy that does not guarantee job-protected paid leave to its employees.¹⁶ While some leading companies provide paid time off for employees to manage family needs,¹⁷ most U.S. workers lack access to paid family leave. Nationally, only 13 percent of workers have access to this type of leave through their employers.¹⁸

The federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) of 1993 provides job-protected *unpaid* leave for up to 12 weeks for eligible workers, but too many in the workforce are excluded from the law’s protection because of employer size restrictions and other eligibility criteria. Some states, including Hawai’i, have their own family and medical leave laws. The Hawai’i Family Leave Law (HFLL) only allows up to four weeks of *unpaid* job-protected leave for a limited pool of workers in businesses that have 100 or more employees.¹⁹ As a result, about 37 percent of Hawai’i’s workforce is ineligible for HFLL.²⁰

In a state with one of the highest costs of living in the nation,²¹ many of Hawai’i’s working families already struggle to make ends meet, and taking unpaid leave is just not an option. Paid family leave would give Hawai’i’s workers the option to take needed time off work without risking income or job loss.

■ *Is used appropriately and not abused by employees.* Before paid family leave was implemented in their state, the business community in California expressed concerns about the potential for misuse or abuse of leave.²² However, research from California and other states confirms that employees take leave appropriately. On average, employees take less than the maximum time allowed,²³ and most employees surveyed reported that they are not affected when co-workers take leave.²⁴





When asked if they were aware of employees abusing the program, 91 percent of employers surveyed in California said “no.”²⁵

Employers in

New Jersey similarly expressed concerns about potential abuse prior to their program’s implementation and, as in California, employer surveys now indicate those concerns have not been realized.²⁶

Paid Family Leave Strengthens the Economy

When the workforce does well, businesses thrive and the economy benefits. Over time, paid family leave can help businesses succeed with a stable workforce that further stimulates economic productivity and growth.²⁷ Research from countries around the world with similar economies, as well as from the handful of U.S. states with these programs, provides evidence that paid family leave:

- ***Saves the state money.*** When employees have to take unpaid leave or leave the workforce to care for a new child or sick family member, the financial cost can fall on public funding. By promoting workers’ financial stability, paid family leave can reduce the need for public assistance and decrease costs for taxpayers. New parents who have access to paid family leave are less likely to rely on food stamps and other forms of public assistance.²⁸

- ***Increases labor force participation.*** The availability of paid family leave increases the likelihood that working parents, especially new mothers, will return to the workforce.²⁹ Today’s families are more dependent on mothers for economic security than ever.³⁰ Women make up about half of the labor force in Hawai’i³¹ and more women with young children are in the

workforce than in the past.³² Since mothers who take paid family leave are more likely to remain connected to the workforce, they are also more likely to experience continued increases in work hours and wages after a child’s birth.³³ This attachment to the labor force not only ensures the financial wellbeing of families but also supports economic advancement.

- ***Boosts savings and spending.*** The potential for lost wages when employees take unpaid leave can negatively affect local economies. When workers remain attached to the labor force, however, lifetime earnings and retirement savings increase, which helps the economy grow.³⁴ Over time, paid family leave can help increase the tax base and support consumer spending.³⁵

Conclusion

Hawai’i’s workforce is stronger when employees can balance work, family, and life’s challenges. Research indicates that paid family leave is not only beneficial to workers, but may also offer benefits to their employers. When offered universally, paid family leave programs allow employers to attract and retain skilled workers, have implications for increasing productivity and profitability, and generally do not harm business operations according to the early data from states with leave programs. Paid family leave supports labor force attachment and earnings continuity for workers, especially women, allowing families to be self-sufficient and to give back to the economy. Over time, a universal paid family leave program can contribute to our state’s economic productivity and growth.

Endnotes and Citations

- ¹ For a brief summary of benefits to families, see: Stern, I.R., Zan, H., & Kohl, N. (2016). *Paid family leave: Strengthening Hawaii's children and families*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii, Center on the Family.
- ² Appelbaum, E., & Milkman, R. (2011). *Leaves that pay: Employer and worker experiences with paid family leave in California*. Washington D.C.: Center for Economic and Policy Research.
- ³ National Partnership for Women and Families. (2016). *State Paid Family Leave Insurance Laws*. Washington, D.C.: National Partnership for Women and Families.
- ⁴ Appelbaum & Milkman (2011); Houser, L. & Vartanian, P. (2012). *Pay matters: The positive economic impacts of paid family leave for families, businesses, and the public*. Center for Women and Work. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University.
- ⁵ Lerner, S., & Appelbaum, E. (2014). *Business as usual: New Jersey employers' experiences with family leave insurance*. Washington D.C.: Center for Economic and Policy Research.; Silver, B. E., Mederer, H., & Djurdjevic, E. (2016). *Launching the Rhode Island temporary caregiver insurance program (TCI): employee experiences one year later*. Women's Bureau: U.S. Department of Labor.
- ⁶ Guendelman, S., Pearl, M., Graham, S., Angulo, V., & Kharrazi, M. (2006). Utilization of Pay-in Antenatal Leave Among Working Women in Southern California. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 10(1), 63–73.; Laughlin, L. (2011). *Maternity leave and employment patterns: 2006–2008*. Current Population Report, 70-128. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau.
- ⁷ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011).
- ⁸ Boushey, H. & Glynn, S. J. (2012). *There are significant business costs to replacing employees*. Washington D.C.: Center for American Progress.
- ⁹ Gault, B., Hartmann, H., Hegewisch, A., Milli, J., & Reichlin, L. (2014). Paid parental leave in the United States: What the data tell us about access, usage, and economic and health benefits. Institute for Women's Policy Research.
- ¹⁰ Appelbaum & Milkman (2011); Lerner & Appelbaum, (2014).
- ¹¹ Matos, K. & Galinsky, E. (2014). *National study of employers*. Families and Work Institute.
- ¹² Lerner & Appelbaum, (2014).
- ¹³ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011); Ibid.
- ¹⁴ Harrington, B., Van Deusen, F., Sabatini Fraone, J., Eddy, S., & Hass, L. (2014). *The New Dad: Take Your Leave*. Boston College: Center for Work and Family.; Traub, A. & Hiltonsmith, R. (2016). *Lagging on leave: While businesses fall short, New York state can become a leader on paid family leave*. New York, NY: Demos.; Warner, J. & Corley, D. (2016). *In the absence of U.S. action on paid leave, multinationals make their own policies*. Washington D.C.: Center for American Progress.
- ¹⁵ Baughman, R., DiNardi, D., & Holtz-Eakin, D. (2003). Productivity and wage effects of "family-friendly" fringe benefits. *International Journal of Manpower*, 24(3), 247–259.
- ¹⁶ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011).
- ¹⁷ Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2016). *Table PF2.1.A. Summary of paid leave entitlements available to mothers: Paid maternity, parental and home care leave available to mothers, in weeks, 2015 & Table PF2.1.B. Summary of paid leave entitlements for fathers: Paid paternity leave and paid parental and home care leave reserved for fathers, in weeks, 2015*. Retrieved on November 2016 from http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/PF2_1_Parental_leave_systems.pdf; also see Ray, R., Gornick, J. C., & Schmitt, J. (2008). *Parental leave policies in 21 countries: Assessing generosity and gender equality*. Washington D.C.: Center for Economic and Policy Research.; Rhum, C. (2000). Parental leave and child health. *Journal of Health Economics*, 19(6), 931-960.
- ¹⁸ PL+US. (2016). *Forging Ahead or Falling Behind? Paid family leave at America's top companies*. Retrieved December 2016 from <https://plus.nationbuilder.com/corporate>.
- ¹⁹ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2014). *Employee benefits survey. Table 32. Leave benefits: Access, civilian workers, National Compensation Survey, March 2014*. Retrieved on November 2016 from <http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ebs/benefits/2014/ownership/civilian/table32a.htm>.
- ²⁰ Hawaii Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Wage Standards Division (n.d.). Hawaii Family Leave. *Frequently Asked Questions on the Hawaii Family Leave Law (HFLL). Chapter 398, Hawaii Revised Statutes*. Retrieved on November 2016 from <http://labor.hawaii.gov/wsd/hawaii-family-leave/>.
- ²¹ U.S. Census (2013). 2007-2013 SUSB Historical table totals for U.S. & states, *Number of firms, number of establishments, employment, and annual payroll by enterprise employment size for the United States and states, totals (2013 table)*. Statistics of U.S. Businesses (SUSB), County Business Patterns.
- ²² Methodological note: The estimated 37 percent of the workforce that is not covered by Hawaii Family Leave Law (HFLL) is calculated using County Business Pattern Census data that includes private sector firms and excludes most government establishments. Government establishments included in the County Business Pattern data are government-sponsored wholesale liquor establishments, retail liquor stores, book publishers, federally-chartered saving institutions, federally-chartered credit unions, and hospitals, according to the methodology of the County Business Patterns data.
- ²³ Based on authors' calculation of 2011 and 2012 Cost of Living Index data from the Council for Community and Economic Research.
- ²⁴ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011).
- ²⁵ Ibid; Lerner & Appelbaum, (2014).
- ²⁶ Silver, Mederer, & Djurdjevic, (2016).
- ²⁷ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011).
- ²⁸ Lerner & Appelbaum, (2014).
- ²⁹ Shierholz, H. (2014). *Paid Leave Is Good for Business*. U.S. Department of Labor Blog. Retrieved December 2016 <http://blog.dol.gov/2014/12/19/paid-leave-is-good-for-business/>.
- ³⁰ Houser & Vartanian, (2012).
- ³¹ Appelbaum & Milkman, (2011); Baum, C. L., & Ruhm, C. J. (2016). The Effects of Paid Family Leave in California on Labor Market Outcomes. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 35(2), 333–356.; Rhum, C. J. (1998). The Economic Consequences of Parental Leave Mandates: Lessons from Europe. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113(1), 285-317.; Waldfoegel, J. (1998). Understanding the "family gap" in pay for women with children. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12(1), 137–156.; Waldfoegel, J., Higuchi, Y., & Abe, M. (1999). Family leave policies and women's retention after childbirth: Evidence from the United States, Britain, and Japan. *Journal of Population Economics*, 12(4), 523–545.
- ³² Wang, W. Parker, K. & Taylor, P. (2013). Chapter 1 "Overview" in *Breadwinner moms*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved March 6, 2017 from <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2013/05/29/breadwinner-moms/>.; Klobuchar, A. (2014). *Mother's day 2014: An update on the importance of mothers for family economic security*. The U.S. Congress Joint Economic Committee Democratic Staff.
- ³³ Department of Business, Economic Development, & Tourism (DBEDT): Research & Economic Analysis. (2015). *Table 12.01 – Labor force status, by sex: 2000 to 2014 in The State of Hawaii's data book 2015*.
- ³⁴ Milkman, R. & Appelbaum, E. (2013). *Unfinished business: Paid family leave in California and the future of U.S. work-family policy*. Cornell University.; Women's Bureau. (2016). *Working mothers issue brief*. Washington D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor (see page 2).
- ³⁵ Houser & Vartanian (2012); Rossin-Slater, M., Ruhm, C. J., & Waldfoegel, J. (2013). The Effects of California's Paid Family Leave Program on Mothers' Leave-Taking and Subsequent Labor Market Outcomes. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 32(2), 224–245.
- ³⁶ Boushey, H., O'Leary, A., Mitukiewicz, A. (2013). The economic benefits of family and medical leave insurance. Washington D.C.: Center for American Progress.
- ³⁷ Gault, B., Hartmann, H., Hegewisch, A., Milli, H., & Reichlin, L. (2014). *Paid parent leave in the United States: What the data tell us about access, usage, and economic and health benefits*. Washington D.C.: Institute for Women's Policy Research.; Elborgh-Woytek, M. K., Newiak, M. M., Kochhar, M. K., Fabrizio, M. S., Kpodar, K., Wingender, M. P., ... Schwartz, M. G. (2013). *Women, work, and the economy: Macroeconomic gains from gender equity*. International Monetary Fund.

Suggested citation: Kohl, N., Stern, I.R., & Gauci, K.T. (2017). *Paid family leave: Benefiting businesses and the economy*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii, Center on the Family.

This issue brief was prepared as part of a project that is a partnership of the Hawaii KIDS COUNT project, Hawaii Children's Action Network, and Hawaii State Commission on the Status of Women, supported in part by a grant from the Annie E. Casey Foundation. We thank them for their support but acknowledge that the information presented does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the Foundation.

Photo credits: The photograph on page 1 is licensed under Creative Commons Public Domain and entitled "MBA Sustainability Leadership Bootcamp - Toronto 2012" (<https://www.flickr.com/photos/36238874@N02/8200944464/in/photostream/>) by The Natural Step Canada (<https://www.flickr.com/photos/36238874@N02/>) licensed under Creative Commons 2.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>). Formatting changes, such as cropping, were made to the photo. The photographs on pages 2 and 3 are courtesy of the Center on the Family Photo Collection.

